

1 **WO**

2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
6

7 United States of America,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 Jesus German Munoz,

11 Defendant.
12 _____

CR-07-501113-PHX-SMM

DETENTION ORDER

13
14 Defendant appeared before this Court on a Petition for Revocation of Supervised
15 Release. The issue of detention was submitted to the Court. The Court considered the
16 Petition and file in determining whether defendant should be released on conditions set by
17 the Court.

18 The Court finds that defendant has failed to carry his burden of establishing that he
19 does not pose a serious flight risk pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6), Federal Rules of Criminal
20 Procedure.

21 The Court concludes, therefore, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
22 defendant is a flight risk and that there is no condition or combination of conditions that
23 will reasonably assure his appearance at future proceedings.

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained pending further
25 proceedings.

26 DATED this 28th day of April, 2009.

27
28 

Edward C. Voss
United States Magistrate Judge

1 **WO**

2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
6

7 United States of America,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 Jesus German Munoz,

11 Defendant.
12 _____

CR-07-501113-PHX-SMM

DETENTION ORDER

13
14 Defendant appeared before this Court on a Petition for Revocation of Supervised
15 Release. The issue of detention was submitted to the Court. The Court considered the
16 Petition and file in determining whether defendant should be released on conditions set by
17 the Court.

18 The Court finds that defendant has failed to carry his burden of establishing that he
19 does not pose a serious flight risk pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6), Federal Rules of Criminal
20 Procedure.

21 The Court concludes, therefore, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
22 defendant is a flight risk and that there is no condition or combination of conditions that
23 will reasonably assure his appearance at future proceedings.

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained pending further
25 proceedings.

26 DATED this 28th day of April, 2009.

27
28 

Edward C. Voss
United States Magistrate Judge